
B 23 67 25.6

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

ĘŢ

WAIN, Christopher Paul A A THORNTON & CO 235 High Holborn London WC1V 7LE GRANDE BRETAGNE



PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

24.11.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference CPW/20693

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

International application No. PCT/GB 03/03751

29.08.2003

29.08.2002

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Applicant

CIPLA LTD et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Ladurner, Y

Tel. +49 89 2399-7913



PATENT COOPERATION EATY



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference CPW/20693			ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416)			
International application No. PCT/GB 03/03751				International filing da 29.08.2003	ate (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.08.2002		
	nationa K45/		ent Classification (IPC) o	or both national classificati	on and IPC			
	icant LA L	TD et	al.			4		
1. ,	This Auth	inter	national preliminary e and is transmitted to	xamination report has the applicant according	been prepared by this g to Article 36.	International Preliminary Examining		
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
These annexes consist of a total of sheets.								
3.	This	repo	rt contains indications	s relating to the followin	na items:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	.1	⊠	Basis of the opinion					
	II.		Priority	•		•		
	.: 	⊠	•	of oninion with regard:	to novelty inventive st	ep and industrial applicability		
	١٧			•	to noveky, mvemive of	op and moderna applicability		
 IV						y, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
	VI		Certain documents	cited				
	VII		Certain defects in t	he international applica	tion	•		
,	VIII		Certain observation	ns on the international a	application	t 554 t		
Date	of sub	omissio	on of the demand		Date of completion	of this report		
22.03.2004					24.11.2004	24.11.2004		
Name and mailing address of the international				tional	Authorized Officer	Authorized Officer		
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich					Blott, C	Blott, C		
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				23656 epmu d		Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7538		
		. га	A. TTO US ESSS - 4403		rejepnone No. +49	9 07 2397-7538 Topics - 1990 - 1		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/03751

I.	Basis	of	the	ren	ort

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Description, Pages					
	1-26	6	as originally filed			
	Cla	ims, Numbers				
	1-33	3	as originally filed			
2. With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated und			age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ernational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	The	se elements were ava	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:			
		the language of a tra	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
		the language of publi	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).			
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.			
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.			
	\Box	furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in written form.			
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in computer readable form.			
		The statement that the in the international ap	ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure oplication as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement that the listing has been furni	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.			
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:			
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			
5.			established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).			
		(Any replacement sh report.)	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this			
6.	Add	litional observations, i	f necessary:			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/03751

III.	No	n-establishment of opinion w	ith reg	gard to nove	elty, inventive step a	nd industrial applicability		
1.	The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	☐ the entire international application,							
	☑ claims Nos. 31-33							
		pecause:						
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 31-33 (industrial applicability) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
	see separate sheet							
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.						
A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex Instructions:					ue to the failure of the nucleotide and Annex C of the Administrative			
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.						
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.						
٧.	Rea cita	asoned statement under Arti Itions and explanations supp	cle 35(porting	2) with rega such state	rd to novelty, invent ment	ive step or industrial applicability;		
1.	Sta	tement						
	Nov	velty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2,4-7,9-26,28,32 1,3,8,27,29-31,33			
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-33	-		
	Indi	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-30	, 200		
2.	Cita	ations and explanations				•		
	see	separate sheet				•		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB 03/03751 **AMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET*

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 31-33 relate to a subject-matter considered by this authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Art. 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

- D1: US-B-6 423 2982 (D.P.MCNAMARA, G.A.DESTEFANO) 23 July 2002 (2002-07-23)
- D2: M.MIRAVITLLES E.A.: "Treatment and quality of life in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease" QUALITY OF LIFE RESEARCH, vol. 11, no. 4, 2002, pages 329-338, XP008018999
- D3: WO 02/07672 A (AEROPHARM TECHNOLOGY) 31 January 2002 (2002-01-31)
- D4: R.K.GUPTA, S.K.CHHABRA: "An evaluation of salmeterol in the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases" THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF CHEST DISEASES & ALLIED SCIENCES, vol. 44, no. 3, 2002, pages 165-172, XP008018997
- a) D1 discloses pharmaceutical preparation for propellant driven metered dose inhalers comprising at least two active substances e.g. beclometasone, budesonide, cromoglycinic acid, fenoterol, flunisolide, fluticasone, ipratropium bromide, nedocromil, orciprenaline, oxitropium bromide, reproterol, salbutamol (albuterol), salmeterol, terbutalin. One particularly preferred embodiment comprises suspended salbutamol sulphate, dissolved ipratropium bromide, ethanol as co-solvent and citric acid as stabiliser.
- b) In document D2, patients with COPD were treated with a short-acting β2 agonist, ipratropium bromide and an inhaled corticosteroid (budesonide, fluticasone or beclomethasone (cf. p. 332, col. 2, table II).
- c) D3 discloses a medicinal aerosol formulation, which comprises at least two different particulate medicaments selected from the group consisting of β2 adrenergic agonists, corticosteroids, anticholinergics, histamine antagonists, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory agents and leucotriene modulators.
- d) In D4, patients inhaled four-times-daily ipratropium and twice-daily beclomethasone

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB 03/03751 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

dipropionate, together with salmeterol (twice daily) or placebo. Inhaled salbutamol was given on an as-needed basis (cf. abstract and p. 166, col. 2).

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 3, 8, 27, 29-31, 33 is not new over D4 in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Claims 2, 4-7, 9-26, 28, 32 do not seem to contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, see documents D2 and D4 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report (Article 33(3) PCT).

It is pointed out that no evidence for the claimed effect has been provided by the applicant. The application does not provide any results of tests carried out with the products in the field of activity at issue.

For the assessment of the present claims 31-33 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.